Ref	Name	Objection
1.	Jennie Walker 5 Feltre Place RG14 7BW	I am writing to register my disapproval of the proposed change of hours and licensing to the takeaway to at 63 Bartholomew Street.
		I have lived nearby to these premises for a number of years and feel that we suffer enough noise pollution with vehicles revving up at the nearby traffic lights throughout the evening along with the drunks exiting the pubs after closing and emergency vehicles regularly roaring along outside my home.
		I believe this area is open to crime and disorder, at risk of public safety during the hours of darkness and regularly suffering from the effects of drunks yelling and shouting into the early hours.
		The addition of a takeaway serving alcohol into the early hours is the last thing we need.
		The evidence of drug taking around the area is yet another problem.
2.	Russell Batchelor 64a Bartholomew Street Newbury Berkshire	As below, I have just today been made aware of the planned change of licence for Vinh Kee Takeaway, 63A Bartholomew Street (Reference 21547).
	RG14 7BE	I am a resident at 64A Bartholomew Street, next door to this address –
		<ul> <li>The prevention of crime and disorder</li> <li>Public safety</li> </ul>
		The prevention of public nuisance

There are multiple flats both above, and within meters of this address. As a resident myself for the past 9 years, I am <u>VERY</u> concerned about this proposal.

- There are families with young children that live in these premises. Playing loud music until 11pm, and the serving of alcohol until 2am WILL directly result in public nuisance, and contradict residents rights to peaceful enjoyment of their properties.
- This is not consistent with the licences of the surrounding businesses, and will almost certainly lead to anti-social behaviour, low level criminal activities, and drunk individuals disrupting the residents.
- This will encourage the gathering of local individuals involved in the drug trade, which represents a massive concern for public safety, public nuisance & the increase of crime and disorder in the community.

While there is ongoing anti-social behaviour in the area, it is generally restricted to weekend trading hours. Granting an establishment 7 days a week music & alcohol licence to operate for these hours, within a densely populated residential area, would be a complete disaster, leading to a degeneration of the local area. The impact on the local resident's would be huge, young children live within meters of this premises, they will be unable to get the sleep & peace that young children need at night if there is music blaring until 11pm and drunk individuals causing public nuisance until 2am at this premises, 7 days a week! This will also impact the mental health and stress of the residents, especially during the current economic and

		political climate, when mental health is such an important aspect of our lives. we have a right to the peaceful enjoyment of our properties.
		The lack of any CCTV in the area, also represents a massive risk to public safety.
		This proposal would see a massive downturn in the desirability of the area, and of Newbury town centre itself.
		I cannot express my objection to this proposal strongly enough, as a resident who lives next door to this address, I am deeply concerned about the affect this will have on my own right to live in the area peacefully and safely.
		I fully expect this licence proposal to be rejected. To do otherwise, would be against every legal requirement of the granting of new licences.
		if I can be of any further help, please contact me using my details below, I will fully support any additional action necessary to ensure this proposal is rejected.
3.	Laura Johns 12 Four Oaks Highclere Newbury Berks	My family have been involoved with the premises No 64 Bartholomew Street which is Grade 11 listed for over 50 years and as part owner of the premises and I am horrified at this proposal. I would like to object in the strongest terms to the above application on the grounds of:-
	RG20 9PB	<ul><li>the prevention of crime and disorder</li><li>public safety</li></ul>

	•	the	preventior	n of	public	nuisance
	The		premises	in	question	is
	•	homes located town of NOT or Allowin alcohol resider No 63A is totall is not of it will a criminal extent exiting centre. Will ennight tipublic swiffering for the suffering The im	where familied near many be entre and the overed by CC g the playing until 2am 7 do not a business a is registered by unjustifiable consistent with Imost inevitablity and drunk even more to late night drink courage gather economy/safety. The currently ene (although an establis se hours word g of local resipact such behavior and the courage gather and the currently ene (although and establis se hours word g of local resipact such behavior and the part such behavior and the currently ene (although and establis se hours word g of local resipact such behavior and the currently ene (although and establis se hours word got local resipact such behavior and the currently ene (although and establis se hours word got local resipact such behavior and the currently energy	es with childres businesses of beginning TV. Ty of music lays a week. Les as a Grade in this environ the license by lead to an en individual han is curresting opportunity of local drug trade of the license by lead to an endured antimot restrict homent 7 days ould be an idents.	s of surrounding ntisocial behaviouals disrupting the ently the case funities in the heart all individuals involved to Thursdays a week licence unwanted additionave on the quality	businesses ur, low level locals to an rom people of the town blved in the a threat to is focussed ay-Sunday, e to operate tion to the locals to an operate to the town blved in the authors of the locals to an operate tion to the locals of t
					huge and in a tin th and wellbeing	

		this aspect should not be under-emphasised. Relentless, repeated disruption of people's every day quiet lives, which such a licence would enable, could quite easily be considered to represent a threat to public safety.  • Furthermore the lack of CCTV covering the area south of the premises affords the local residents no way of identifying perpetrators (for plenty of perpetrators there will be), nor of pursuing criminal proceedings due to lack of evidence.  I hope that this proposal will be refused,
4.	Newbury Town Council (Hugh Peacocke) Market Place Newbury Berks RG14 5AA	Newbury Town Council objects to the opening hours proposed. We recommend that the premises should close at 11.00 pm each evening, to protect the amenities of nearby residents.
5.	Joseph Tolman-Lopez/James Harding. 3, Bartholomew Close, Argyle Road, RG14 6BY	I am writing to you regarding the planning application reference: 21547.  I understand this reference is submitted by Bite Express limited for; - Recorded music Monday-Sunday 8:00 - 23:00 - Late night refreshments Monday-Sunday 23:00-02:00 - Supply of Alcohol Monday-Sunday 11:00-02:00 At the premises formerly occupied by the Vinh Kee takeaway restaurant on Bartholomew street.  Mine (and my partners) details are as follows Names: Joseph Tolman-Lopez/James Harding Address: 3, Bartholomew Close, Argyle Road, RG14 6BY.

I/We wish to formally object to the proposal. We wish to object on the following grounds.

1. The above proposals being approved will drastically increase public nuisance around the area. I have copied in Andy (our local councillor) who is aware that I have endured vandalism to my property as well as anti social behaviour due to through traffic in the area. @Andy, can I trust you relay our concerns to the relevant council members on the licensing board?

Whilst I would in no way classify this area as unsafe. It is apparent that its centrality and large scale usage as a thoroughfare causes a higher number of anti social and violent incidents. This is somewhat of an issue. However it's just the nature of where we live.

Licensing a premises to serve alcohol until 2am will only exacerbate this already difficult situation. This would serve to make life distressing for myself and my fellow residents. When I consider the amount of small children/elderly residents in the area. I would imagine this would have a negative impact on their mental health and cause high levels of distress.

2. This licensing application bears no resemblance to the other businesses surrounding it. One a dog groomer, the other a barber. Both are upstanding members of the community and bring value to the neighbourhood. Bite Express' new venture would completely contradict the nature and personality of the businesses already trading. In my view this application is as tone deaf as can be. Clearly they have no consideration for the local residents and what is best for the community.

		In short. The public disorder, public nuisance, potential increase in violent conduct and overall disregard for the community's current business approach means we strongly object to the granting of licenses associated to application: 21547.
6.	Claire Struthers-Semple 4 Argyle Rd Newbury	I would like to object in the strongest terms to the above application on the grounds of  • the prevention of crime and disorder  • public safety  • the prevention of public nuisance
		<ul> <li>The premises in question is</li> <li>directly underneath flats and within metres of homes where families (with children of varying ages) live,</li> <li>at the end of a run of businesses that signal the end of the town centre and the beginning of a residential area which is NOT covered by CCTV.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Allowing the playing of music until 11pm and serving of alcohol until 2am 7 days a week</li> <li>WILL affect the lives of local resident &amp; businesses: There is no question of this.</li> <li>is totally unjustifiable in this environment,</li> <li>is not consistent with the licenses of surrounding businesses</li> <li>will almost inevitably increase the levels of antisocial behaviour, low level criminality, property damage (business and domestic) and drunken individuals disrupting the locals to an extent even more than is currently the case from people exiting late night drinking opportunities in the heart of the town centre.</li> </ul>

- will encourage gathering of local individuals involved in the night time economy/drug trade which represents a threat to public safety.
- Will inevitably also lead to increased traffic/illegal use of adjoining carpark for deliveroo-type vehicles (an issue with the current occupants: drivers sitting with engines running, littering in the private carpark of adjoining building)

Approving this licence application would simply fuel the degeneration of the neighbourhood into a highly undesirable area. We already have unacceptable levels of antisocial behaviour, including street drug dealing in this area and opening a "go to" place that sells alcohol until 2am will simply enable local criminals to extend their trading hours.

Whilst the currently endured antisocial behaviour is focussed between (although not restricted to) Thursday-Sunday, affording an establishment 7 days a week licence to sell alcohol operate for these hours would be an unwanted addition to the suffering of local residents.

The impact such behaviour can have on the quality of life and stress levels of local residents is huge and in a time when we are so aware of the mental health and wellbeing of citizens, this aspect should not be under-emphasised. There are many elderly residents locally and I know from talking to them and other local residents that relentless, repeated disruption of their every day quiet lives, causes then enormous upset, anxiety and fear. Consequently, approving this licence could quite easily be considered to represent a threat to public safety.

		Furthermore the lack of CCTV covering the area south of the premises affords the local residents no way of identifying perpetrators (for plenty of perpetrators there will be), nor of pursuing criminal proceedings due to lack of evidence.
7.	Jacqueline Copas (Address Not Provided)	I am a business owner in Bartholemew Street Newbury, the business applying for a music and license to sell alcohol until 2.00am 7 days a week is very alarming, it is right next door to my business a dog groomers, I am concerned about antisocial behavior, I have had my business vandalised twice, window was broken and I had to have it replaced at my own expense and broken glass being left in the street where my customers enter my dog salon, at times I have had to clear it up before my clients arrive. The reasons mentioned, is why I am opposed to the license application.
8.	Alex Smith 63 Bartholomew Street	I am writing to express my concerns as a local business owner to the application of case 21547.  The application is directly next to my bussiness as I am the Director of B SIDE BARBERS LTD, 63 Bartholomew Street.  I have one main concern regarding the application and that is the potential sale of alcohol until 2am. Now I'd like to make it clear that my concerns are not the license to sell alcohol but the 4pm - 2am time frame in which the application has requested.  I feel the sale of alcohol until 2am will greatly increase the risk of vandalism to mine and other local shops and businesses, not to mention the potential for antisocial behaviour disturbing local residents living in the flats above the commercial premises on Bartholomew Street.

		I have to add although it is great to see the application for another independent business within Newbury, this particular alcohol licensing time is unrealistic to the area and surroundings or the location of this particular commercial property.  Thank you for your time.
9.	Joy Nelson 1 HAMPTON ROAD NEWBURY RG14 6DB	I refer to the above application for the former Vinh Kee premises and wish to oppose this.  We live in Hampton Road - a short distance away but well within earshot. It is an area full of almshouses, a retirement home (The Argyles), and social housing mostly occupied by older people very unsuitable for a venue selling alcohol and playing loud music into the small hours. There are several bars and restaurants in central Newbury more than adequate for those who like to keep late hours.
10.	Jemima Parrish Argyle Road	I wish to object in the strongest terms to the above application for 63a Bartholomew Street.  I live in Argyle Road and can foresee that we residents would be disturbed regularly, particularly at weekends by anti-social and nuisance behaviour with people walking past late at night.  The prospect of this establishment attracting late night customers to 2 am is alarming. This is a residential area with many elderly residents and this type of establishment is utterly ill suited to this location.  In addition, there is no parking at this site, so we residents risk having our limited parking options reduced further.  I strongly object and hope that this application will be refused.

		Via follow up email
		To clarify my point regarding parking - it appears there would not be sufficient/any parking available at this site for customers, so we residents in Argyle Road risk having our limited on-street parking options in Argyle Road reduced further.
11.	Nadia Akhi FLAT 2, STERLING HOUSE POUND STREET NEWBURY	I would like to object in the strongest terms to the above application on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety, the prevention of public nuisance
	RG14 6AA	The premises in question is directly underneath flats and within meters of homes where families with children currently live peacefully, at the and of a run of businesses that signal the end of the town centre and the beginning of residential area which is NOT covered by CCTV. Allowing the playing of music until 11pm and serving of alcohol until 2am 7 days a week WILL affect the lives of local resident & businesses:
		There is no question of this is totally unjustifiable in this environment, is not consistent with the licenses of surrounding businesses will almost inevitably lead to antisocial behaviour, low level criminality and drunken individuals disrupting the locals to an extent even more than is currently the case from people exiting late night drinking opportunities in the heart of the town centre. will encourage gathering of local individuals involved in the night time economy/drug trade which represents a threat to public safety.
		When one considers this premises in combination with the brothel on Pound Street (let's not bury our heads in the sand here!)

		approving this licence application could signal the degeneration of the neighbourhood into a highly undesirable area.  Whilst the currently endured antisocial behaviour is focussed between (although not restricted to) Thursday-Sunday, affording an establishment 7 days a week licence to operate for these hours would be an unwanted addition to the suffering of local residents.  The impact such behaviour can have on the quality of life and stress levels of local residents is huge and in a time when we are so aware of the mental health and wellbeing of citizens, this aspect should not be under-emphasised. Relentless, repeated disruption of people's every day quiet lives, which such a licence would enable, could quite easily be considered to represent a threat to public safety.
		Furthermore the lack of CCTV covering the area south of the premises affords the local residents no way of identifying perpetrators (for plenty of perpetrators there will be), nor of pursuing
12.	Jessica Monteith-Chachuat 4 Feltre Place, RG14 7BW	I object to the application (21547) made by Bite Express Ltd for a proposed change of license.  I am resident at 4 Feltre Place, RG14 7BW and object on the grounds of:  * prevention of crime and disorder - the area is already near the city centre and associated pubs and nightclubs, as well as being mere minutes from Newbury's mainline station. Music and availability of alcohol 7 days a week until 2a.m. will simply facilitate anti-social behaviour in an area which is already prone to disorder.  * public safety - see above, as a woman who will need to walk directly past the venue on my way home from any evening activity in the town centre I believe my safety will be compromised; further, I would also fear for the safety of elderly and more vulnerable neighbours

		who live nearby due to the proximity of local alms houses and the Fair Close.  * the prevention of public nuisance - the venue is outside the town centre and without the facilities to create a safe and comfortable environment for music and alcohol until the small hours; provision of alcohol until as late as 2am will simply create noise and anti-social behaviour.  I believe that the application for music and sale of alcohol at Vinh Kee takeway at 63A Bartholomew St will have an overall detrimental effect on the neighbouring families. The overall effect will simply be to create public nuisance, increase crime and disorder, and decrease public safety.
13.	Don and Jennifer Wright 31, Argyle Road, Newbury, Berks RG14 6BX	We would like to strongly object to Application 21547 - Bite Express Ltd. We live in Argyle Road, part of the conservation area, on a Friday and Saturday night we already have a lot of public nuisance as people, often the worse for wear through Alcohol, make their way to the City Park and beyond. They often stop in the road because there is a handy lamp-post, a good place to talk loudly on their mobile and a handy corner to relieve themselves. To allow this application is hardly in the interest of public safety, it will become an even greater public nuisance and will not prevent crime and disorder which could increase.
		At the moment as many efforts are being made locally and nationally to discourage the consumption of alcohol, it would seem obvious that this application should not be allowed.
		As for music, sound carries at night and this would be dreadful and quite unnecessary. We have many places selling food in Bartholomew Road of all international flavours, surely this is enough,

		especially as it keeps these businesses in one area and people can park to collect their orders etc. In Argyle Road many people cast their takeaways into the bushes or on the pavements which is very unpleasant and a public nuisance, this application will only add to the problem of litter.  Please do not allow this application, it would be a detrimental step for this area of Newbury, it will be a public nuisance, not be in the interests of public safety and could give a rise to crime and disorder. The impact on our area could be disastrous.
14.	Mosharof Hossain (Address not Provided)	I received a letter about selling alcohol and music proposed on 63A Bartholomew Street, this is not good for those who live with family and children (neighbourhood ) and of course, you know there are no public safety (CCTV)
15.	MR HOQUE STERLING HOUSE POUND STREET NEWBURY RG14 6AA	I would like to object in the strongest terms to the above application on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety, the prevention of public nuisance  The premises in question is directly underneath flats and within meters of homes where families with children currently live peacefully, at the and of a run of businesses that signal the end of the town centre and the beginning of residential area which is NOT covered by CCTV. Allowing the playing of music until 11pm and serving of alcohol until 2am 7 days a week WILL affect the lives of local resident & businesses:  There is no question of this is totally unjustifiable in this environment, is not consistent with the licenses of surrounding businesses will almost inevitably lead to antisocial behaviour, low level criminality

and drunken individuals disrupting the locals to an extent even more than is currently the case from people exiting late night drinking opportunities in the heart of the town centre. will encourage gathering of local individuals involved in the night time economy/drug trade which represents a threat to public safety. When one considers this premises in combination with the brothel on Pound Street (let's not bury our heads in the sand here!) approving this licence application could signal the degeneration of the neighbourhood into a highly undesirable area. Whilst the currently endured antisocial behaviour is focussed between (although not restricted to) Thursday-Sunday, affording an establishment 7 days a week licence to operate for these hours would be an unwanted addition to the suffering of local residents. The impact such behaviour can have on the quality of life and stress levels of local residents is huge and in a time when we are so aware of the mental health and wellbeing of citizens, this aspect should not be under-emphasised. Relentless, repeated disruption of people's every day guiet lives, which such a licence would enable, could guite easily be considered to represent a threat to public safety. Furthermore the lack of CCTV covering the area south of the premises affords the local residents no way of identifying perpetrators (for plenty of perpetrators there will be), nor of pursuing criminal proceedings due to lack of evidence. Name and Address Withheld at I am co-owner of (redacted) and am absolutely horrified to learn of 16. request of respondent the proposed planning application for No. 63. I object strongly to the above application as it will affect not only the Estate Agents and the families living in the two flats above, but also homes and businesses surrounding the property.

		I'm pasting a copy of a letter from a local lady that covers everything I object to. (the text is as above in comment 15)
17.	Terry Nelson 1 Hampton Road Newbury RG14 6DB	I object to the proposal that a licence be extended to Bite Express 63A Bartholomew Street st be able to sell alcohol 7 days a week until 02h00 and to have music playing for the same time I believe this activity would be detrimental to public safety and would cause crime and disorder in this residential area. It is only a short walk to the town centre where there are adequate facilities.
18.	Andy Moore former Member for Newbury Central	I have received negative representation from nearby residents, and Newbury Town Council has or will lodge an objection. I agree with them.  It is not very clear from the application whether the intention here is essentially retail with background music or to create a club-like atmosphere; the plan attached is singularly unhelpful. Either way, given the proximity of flats above and around the premises, the late night refreshment element seems highly likely to create a nuisance. 2300 should be the absolute limit to business.
Ref	Name	Query
	Sarah Gardner	I have seen a notice for an application at 63a Pound Street.  I was concerned at the proposed opening hours, seven days a week until 2am. Will there be guidance/requirements for the applicant on minimizing potential for noise disturbances for local residents?
Ref	Name	Commentator
Α.	Hilary and Steve Bowsher (Adress Not Provided)	We're writing about the above application (ref 21547), neither to support or object to the application - only to submit a comment as a resident of the local area.

We live around the corner from 63A Bartholomew Street, on Pound Street. As you and your colleagues at the council may know, the area has had a bit of a problem with crime and antisocial behaviour, especially the kind of offences that consumption of alcohol provokes and encourages (criminal damage, public order offences, antisocial behaviour and violent and sexual offences). In the last 12 months, half of the reported crimes in the immediate area have been of this nature, usually taking place late at night/in the early hours of the morning, most often around Pound Street and Argyle Road.

While we totally support all of the local public houses and hospitality venues that serve alcohol, we do have some concerns that the hours included in this application would continue to encourage the very antisocial behaviour that local law enforcement, the council and the local Neighbourhood Watch have been working hard to stop. It doesn't seem necessary that the premises be authorised to sell alcohol until 2am every day of the week, when even the vast majority of local public houses close at 11pm (calling time on alcohol well before that point).

We'd really like the licensing team to take this fact into consideration when looking at the proposed application.

That said, we're glad that the building is being taken over and hope that the new owners will have great success with the business.